

SOUTH FLORIDA WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT, a water management district organized and existing under the laws of the state of Florida,

Plaintiff,

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF FLORIDA, IN AND FOR PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA

v.

CASE NO: 2008-CA 031975

THE STATE OF FLORIDA, AND THE TAXPAYERS, PROPERTY OWNERS AND CITIZENS WITHIN THE JURISDICTION OF THE SOUTH FLORIDA WATER MANEGEMENT DISTRICT, INCLUDING NONRESIDENTS OWNING PROPERTY OR SUBJECT TO TAXATION THEREIN AND OTHERS CLAIMING ANY RIGHTS, TITLE OR INTEREST IN THE CERTIFICATES OF PARTICIPATION HEREIN DESCRIBED, OR TO BE AFFECTED IN ANY WAY THEREBY,

Defendants,

---

**ANSWER OF DEFENDANT DEXTER LEHTINEN**

Defendant, Dexter Lehtinen ("Defendant"), a taxpayer, owner of property and citizen within the jurisdiction of the South Florida Water Management District and being otherwise affected by the issuance of the Certificates of Participation contemplated in this proceeding, files this Answer pursuant to §75.01, *et al.*, Fla. Stat., as follows:

1. Admitted.
2. Admitted that Plaintiff is a water management district, which is organized, exists and operates pursuant to the laws of the state of Florida. However, Plaintiff's operations and conducts are also subject to other legal restrictions and provisions.
3. Admitted.
4. Admitted.

5. Admitted that the South Florida Water Management District adopted Resolution 2008-1027 (the "Governing Board Resolution"), which speaks for itself. Admitted that the Governing Board Resolution purports to be attached to the Complaint. Defendant has insufficient knowledge concerning the remaining allegations in this paragraph, and therefore denies the same and demands strict proof thereof.

6. Denied that the South Florida Water Management District Leasing Company was created on November 9, 2005, in accordance with Chapter 617, Florida Statutes. Defendant has insufficient knowledge concerning the remaining allegations in this paragraph, and therefore denies the same and demands strict proof thereof.

7. Defendant has insufficient knowledge concerning the allegations in this paragraph, and therefore denies the same and demands strict proof thereof.

8. The "Master Lease Purchase Agreement" speaks for itself. Defendant has insufficient knowledge concerning the other allegations in this paragraph, and therefore denies the same and demands strict proof thereof.

9. The "Ground Leases" speak for themselves. Defendant has insufficient knowledge concerning the other allegations in this paragraph, and therefore denies the same and demands strict proof thereof.

10. The "Master Lease Purchase Agreement" and "Schedules" speak for themselves. Defendant has insufficient knowledge concerning the other allegations in this paragraph, and therefore denies the same and demands strict proof thereof.

11. The "Lease" speaks for itself. Defendant has insufficient knowledge concerning the other allegations in this paragraph, and therefore denies the same and demands strict proof thereof.

12. The "Master Lease Purchase Agreement" speaks for itself. Defendant has insufficient knowledge concerning the other allegations in this paragraph, and therefore denies the same and demands strict proof thereof.

13. The "Master Lease Purchase Agreement" speaks for itself. Defendant has insufficient knowledge concerning the other allegations in this paragraph, and therefore denies the same and demands strict proof thereof.

14. Defendant has insufficient knowledge concerning the allegations in this paragraph, and therefore denies the same and demands strict proof thereof.

15. The "Master Trust Agreement" speaks for itself. Defendant has insufficient knowledge concerning the other allegations in this paragraph, and therefore denies the same and demands strict proof thereof.

16. The "Assignment Agreements" speak for themselves. Defendant has insufficient knowledge concerning the other allegations in this paragraph, and therefore denies the same and demands strict proof thereof.

17. The "Master Trust Agreement" speaks for itself. Defendant has insufficient knowledge concerning the other allegations in this paragraph, and therefore denies the same and demands strict proof thereof.

18. The "Master Trust Agreement" speaks for itself. Defendant has insufficient knowledge concerning the other allegations in this paragraph, and therefore denies the same and demands strict proof thereof, except that Defendant admits that the District Governing Board has purported to authorize the District to enter into one or more hedge or swap agreements and other high risk instruments in connection with the COPs.

19. The "Master Trust Agreement" speaks for itself. Defendant has insufficient knowledge concerning the other allegations in this paragraph, and therefore denies the same and demands strict proof thereof.

20. Defendant has insufficient knowledge concerning the allegations in this paragraph, and therefore denies the same and demands strict proof thereof.

21. Defendant has insufficient knowledge concerning the other allegations in this paragraph, and therefore denies the same and demands strict proof thereof.

22. Denied.

23. The "Master Lease Purchase Agreement" speaks for itself. Defendant has insufficient knowledge concerning the other allegations in this paragraph, and therefore denies the same and demands strict proof thereof.

24. Admitted.

25. Section 373.139, Florida Statutes, and the Board's Plan Resolution speak for themselves. Defendant has insufficient knowledge concerning the other allegations in this paragraph, and therefore denies the same and demands strict proof thereof.

26. Denied that Sections 373.016(3), 373.0831 and 373.086(1), Florida Statutes are known as the "River of Grass Everglades Acquisition Project." Further denied that there is an initial "Project," other than the acquisition of real property currently owned by United States Sugar Corporation. Defendant has insufficient knowledge concerning the other allegations in this paragraph, and therefore denies the same and demands strict proof thereof.

27. Paragraph 27, as revised and amended in the Supplement to Complaint for Validation is responded to as follows: Section 373.584, Florida Statutes, and Resolution 2008-1027 speak for

themselves. Defendant has insufficient knowledge concerning the other allegations in this paragraph, and therefore denies the same and demands strict proof thereof.

28. Sections 373.086 and 373.139, Florida Statutes speak for themselves. Defendant denies that the acquisition of contemplated by Plaintiff constitutes a public purpose for which public funds may be expended in the manner requested by Plaintiff. Defendant has insufficient knowledge concerning the other allegations in this paragraph, and therefore denies the same and demands strict proof thereof.

29. Defendant has insufficient knowledge concerning the allegations in this paragraph, and therefore denies the same and demands strict proof thereof.

30. Denied.

31. Denied.

32. Unclear what Initial Project will be constructed, equipped or installed, given that Plaintiff proposes to acquire real property. The other allegations in this paragraph are denied.

33. Denied.

34. All allegations not expressly admitted are hereby denied.

Respectfully submitted,

LEHTINEN RIEDI BROOKS MONCARZ, P. A.  
Dexter Lehtinen, Fla. Bar No. 265551  
Claudio Riedi, Fla. Bar No. 984930  
Felippe Moncarz, Fla. Bar No. 182109  
Attorneys for Dexter Lehtinen  
7700 North Kendall Drive, Suite #303  
Miami, Florida 33156  
Tel. (305) 279-1166 Fax (305) 279-1365  
[dwl@lehtinenlaw.com](mailto:dwl@lehtinenlaw.com)

By: 

DEXTER LEHTINEN

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this 12th day of December, 2008, I served a true and correct copy of the foregoing document via hand delivery and/or first class mail on the following counsel of record.

  
DEXTER LEHTINEN

RANDALL W. HANNA, Esq. CHRISTINE E. LAMIA, Esq. BRYANT MILLER OLIVE, P.A. 101 North Monroe Street, Suite 900 Tallahassee, FL 32301 Fax: 850-222 8969	KENNETH R. ARTIN, Esq. BRYANT MILLER OLIVE, P.A. 135 West Central Blvd., Suite 700 Orlando, FL 32801 Fax: 407-426 7262
SHERYL G. WOOD, General Counsel FRANK. S. BARTOLONE, Esq. South Florida Water Management District 3301 Gun Club Road MSC 1410 West Palm Beach, Florida 33046 Fax: (561) 682-6276	MARK E. KOHL, Esq. State Attorney 16th Judicial Circuit 530 Whitehead Street, Suite 301 Key West, Florida 33040
LAWSON S. LAMAR, Esq. State Attorney Ninth Judicial Circuit 415 North Orange Avenue Orlando, FL 32801	GERALD P. HILL, Esq. State Attorney 10th Judicial Circuit 255 Broadway Avenue, 2nd Floor Bartow, FL 33830
KATHRYN P. HEAVEN, Esq. Asst. State Attorney 17th Judicial Circuit 201 S.E. 6th Street, Suite 655 Fort Lauderdale, FL 33301	KATHERINE FERNANDEZ RUNDLE, Esq. State Attorney, 11th Judicial Circuit 1350 N.W. 12th Avenue Miami, FL 33136
RYAN BUTLER, Esq. Asst. State Attorney 19th Judicial Circuit 411 South Second Street, Ft. Pierce, FL 34950	JACK ACKERMAN, Esq. Asst State Attorney 15th Judicial Circuit 401 North Dixie Highway West Palm Beach, FL 33401-4209
STEPHEN P. RUSSELL, Esq. State Attorney 20th Judicial Circuit 1700 Monroe Street, 3rd Floor Ft. Myers, FL 33902	